

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**IN RE:**

**ARMSTRONG ENERGY, INC., ET AL.,**                   **CHAPTER 11**

**DEBTORS**

**CASE NO. 17-47541-659  
JOINTLY ADMINISTERED**

**OBJECTION TO DEBTORS' SECOND  
AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN**

David Cobb (“Cobb”), a creditor of Armstrong Energy Holdings, Inc., Armstrong Coal Company, Inc., Western Land Company, LLC, and Western Diamond, LLC (“Debtors”), hereby objects to Debtors’ Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan [Docket No. 384] (the “Plan”) and to the sale transaction provided for therein (the “Sale Transaction”). In support of this Objection, Cobb states as follows:

1. On December 3, 2008, Cobb and the Armstrong Parties entered into an amended overriding royalty agreement between Cobb and Western Land Company, LLC and others (the “Royalty Agreement”). Copies of the short form of the Royalty Agreement are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. The Plan provides that the Sale Transaction shall convey the Transferred Assets to NewCo free and clear of all Liens, Claims, charges, and other encumbrances pursuant to sections 363 and 1123 of the Bankruptcy Code. Plan, Article IV, Section B.2.

3. The Plan further provides that all holders of claims shall be deemed, regardless of their consent or lack thereof, to release the Released Parties from any and all claims and obligations whatsoever relating to or arising from the Debtors, the Estates or the conduct of the

Debtors' businesses. The term "Released Parties" is defined to include non-Debtors, including, without limitation, Thoroughbred Resources, L.P., and its Affiliates. Plan, Article X, Section D.

4. Cobb objects to the Plan on the ground that the Plan does not contain sufficient information for Cobb to analyze properly the Plan's effect on Cobb's overriding royalty interest evidenced by the Royalty Agreement. Specifically, the Plan, in Article IV, provides that the Debtors, pursuant to the Transaction Agreement, will consummate the Sale Transaction by transferring certain assets to NewCo free and clear of all encumbrances, except for Permitted Encumbrances. [Docket No. 384]. "Permitted Encumbrance" is defined in the Plan to have the meaning set forth in the Transaction Agreement. The Transaction Agreement defines "Permitted Encumbrances" to include "overriding royalty agreements that encumber real property . . . [as] set forth on Schedule 1.1A" and certain rights set forth on Schedule 1.1B thereto. Debtors have failed to attach these schedules to their filings. To the extent Cobb's overriding royalty interest is intended not to be included as Permitted Encumbrances, Cobb objects on the basis that selling the Debtors' assets free and clear of any encumbrances violates 11 U.S.C. 363(f), and therefore, the Plan does not comply with 11 U.S.C. 1129(a)(1).

5. A proposed modification to the Plan (or related materials) that would resolve the objection set forth in paragraph 4 above would be that the Debtors file Schedules 1.1A and 1.1B to the Transaction Agreement and include therein a reference acceptable to Cobb to his overriding royalty agreement and amendments.

6. Cobb further objects to the Plan on the basis that it includes third-party, non-debtor releases to which Cobb and other creditors have not consented. While the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit has not ruled on the propriety of non-consensual third-party releases, several other circuits have concluded that non-consensual, third-party releases are

impermissible, and this Court should conclude similarly. In the event this Court were to conclude that non-consensual, third-party releases may be permissible under appropriate circumstances, the Debtors have not met their burden of demonstrating that exceptional circumstances exist to warrant approval of the non-consensual, third-party releases in the Debtors' Plan.

7. A proposed modification to the Plan (or related materials) that would resolve the objection set forth in paragraph 6 above would be that the Debtors include in the Plan or the order confirming the Plan a provision that, notwithstanding any other provisions of the Plan, nothing in the Plan shall affect any obligation or liability of Western Mineral Development, LLC, Ceralvo Holdings, LLC, or Ceralvo Resources, LLC, or any other non-Debtor person or entity, from any obligation or liability that it may now have or hereafter owe to Cobb pursuant to the Royalty Agreement and Kentucky law applicable thereto.

Wherefore, Cobb respectfully requests that confirmation of the Plan be denied unless the foregoing objections are resolved to the satisfaction of Cobb.

Respectfully submitted,

SANDBERG PHOENIX & von GONTARD P.C.

By: /s/ Scott Greenberg  
Scott Greenberg, MO Bar: 33575, Fed Bar: 33575MO  
600 Washington Avenue - 15th Floor  
St. Louis, MO 63101-1313  
(314) 231-3332  
(314) 241-7604 Fax  
[sgreenberg@sandbergphoenix.com](mailto:sgreenberg@sandbergphoenix.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned counsel states that the foregoing was served through the Court's CM/ECF system on all parties entering appearances in the case and by electronic mail on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of January 2018, upon the parties set forth below:

Travis M. Bayer  
Timothy M. Bow  
William A. Guerrieri  
Ross M. Kwasteniet  
Kirkland & Ellis, LLP  
300 N. LaSalle Street  
Chicago, IL 60654  
[Travis.bayer@kirkland.com](mailto:Travis.bayer@kirkland.com)  
[Timothy.bow@kirkland.com](mailto:Timothy.bow@kirkland.com)  
[wguerrieri@kirkland.com](mailto:wguerrieri@kirkland.com)  
[ross.kwasteniet@kirkland.com](mailto:ross.kwasteniet@kirkland.com)  
(Debtors' Counsel)

Lorenzo Marinuzzi  
Jonathan L. Levine  
Jennifer L. Marines  
Daniel J. Harris  
Morrison & Foerster LLP  
250 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street  
New York, NY 10019  
[lmarinuzzi@mofo.com](mailto:lmarinuzzi@mofo.com)  
[jonlevine@mofo.com](mailto:jonlevine@mofo.com)  
[jmarines@mofo.com](mailto:jmarines@mofo.com)  
[dharris@mofo.com](mailto:dharris@mofo.com)  
(Creditors' Committee Counsel)

Vadim J. Rubenstein  
Loeb & Loeb LLP  
345 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10154  
[vrubinstein@loeb.com](mailto:vrubinstein@loeb.com)  
(Indenture Trustee's Counsel)

Charles A. Compton  
Jackson Kelly PLLC  
221 N.W. Fifth Street  
Evansville, IN 47708  
[charles.compton@jacksonkelly.com](mailto:charles.compton@jacksonkelly.com)  
(Counsel for Knight Hawk)

Erin M. Edelman  
Richard W. Engel, Jr.  
John G. Willard  
Armstrong Teasdale LLP  
7700 Forsyth Blvd., Suite 1800  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
[eedelman@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:eedelman@armstrongteasdale.com)  
[rengel@armstrongteasdale.com](mailto:rengel@armstrongteasdale.com)  
(Debtors' Co-Counsel)

J. Talbot Sant, Jr.  
Affinity Law Group, LLC  
1610 Des Peres Road, Suite 100  
St. Louis, MO 63131  
[tsant@affinitylawgrp.com](mailto:tsant@affinitylawgrp.com)  
(Creditors' Committee Co-Counsel)

Leonora S. Long  
Office of the U.S. Trustee  
111 S. 10th Street, Suite 6353  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
[Leonora.long@usdoj.gov](mailto:Leonora.long@usdoj.gov)  
(United States Trustee)

Debra McElligott  
Matthew Feldman  
Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP  
787 Seventh Avenue  
New York, NY 10019-6099  
[dmcelligott@willkie.com](mailto:dmcelligott@willkie.com)  
[mfeldman@willkie.com](mailto:mfeldman@willkie.com)  
(Counsel for Thoroughbred)

Brian S. Hermann  
Elizabeth R. McColm  
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison  
LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York NY 10019  
[bhermann@paulweiss.com](mailto:bhermann@paulweiss.com)  
[emccolm@paulweiss.com](mailto:emccolm@paulweiss.com)  
(Counsel for Ad Hoc Secured Noteholders)

Christopher J. Lawhorn  
Carmody MacDonald P.C.  
120 S. Central Ave., Suite 1800  
St. Louis, MO 63105  
[cjl@carmodymacdonald.com](mailto:cjl@carmodymacdonald.com)  
(Co-counsel for Ad Hoc Secured Noteholders)

/s/ Robin Hileman

THIS DOCUMENT WAS ELECTRONICALLY FILED